IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF COBB COUNTY COBB JUDICIAL CIRCUIT STATE OF GEORGIA

| JONATHAN RIVERA |) |
|-----------------|----------------|
| 73 1 1 66 |) |
| Plaintiff |) |
| Versus |) Case Number |
| GINA SCHARLE |) 21-1-1795-40 |
| Defendant |) |

MOTION FOR MODIFICATION

A transcript of the proceedings before the **HONORABLE GEORGE H. KREEGER**, on June 9, 2021, at the Cobb County

Courthouse, Marietta, Cobb County, Georgia.

APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL: MATTHEW D. MCMASTER FOR PLAINTIFF: Attorney at Law 12 Powder Springs St., Ste. 250 Marietta, Ga. 30064 770-362-6490 FOR DEFENDANT: DAVID CANALE Attorney at Law 145 Towne Lake Pkwy. Woodstock, Ga. 30188 678-879-9000 FOR EQUITABLE CAREGIVER: THOMAS BROWNING Attorney at Law 31 Atlanta St., Ste. 201 Marietta, Ga. 30060 770-424-1500 GUARDIAN AD LITEM LESLEE HUNGERFORD Attorney at Law 506 Roswell St., Ste. 210 Marietta, Ga. 30060 770-405-0164

Paul D. Crowder, CCR, CVR 264 Mountain View Dr. Woodstock, Ga. 30188 404-457-9289

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 3 THE COURT: Any witnesses to be sequestered or 4 anything like that? 5 MR. BROWNING: I have no witnesses other than my 6 parties. 7 MR. MCMASTER: My client, Your Honor, will be the 8 only witness. 9 MR. CANALE: I have one witness. 10 THE COURT: Now, like I say, we've got about an hour, 11 so just keep that in mind. 12 MR. MCMASTER: I do have a witness, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Do we want the rule? 14 MR. CANALE: We invoke the rule. 15 THE COURT: All right. Ready to begin? 16 MS. HUNGERFORD: Yes, Judge. 17 MR. BROWNING: Your Honor, you want to instruct the 18 witness? She's still here. 19 THE COURT: Just sit outside. I guess we need to 20 shut those doors so she can't hear. Do not discuss the 21 case with anyone. Ready? 22 MS. HUNGERFORD: Sure, Judge. I'm going to try to 23 give you the abridged version just so you kind of 24 understand how we got here today. This case is involving

one child, Giana Scharle, she's 15, about to be 16, and

then we've got a biological mother, biological father, and then mother's boyfriend, or ex-boyfriend, who had petitioned under an equitable caregiver statute.

The child resided for a period of about 10 years with the mother and the boyfriend, who's Mr. Curry. And around 2020 they broke up; mom left the house. Mom subsequently got arrested and then the biological father filed an emergency motion to get a TPO, and then also, an emergency change of custody order here in front of this Court, which granted him custody. That occurred around March 1st.

On March 3rd the Cobb County Sheriff's Office went to Mr. Curry's house to do a custody pick up and exchange.

Mr. Rivera also made an allegation that Mr. Curry had kidnapped the minor child, so Cobb SWAT team was there with an executed warrant.

When they arrived at the house to transfer custody,
Ms. Scharle, who's the biological mother, was present, and
so was Giana, the minor child. They both told Cobb County
that Giana, the minor child, wanted to stay with Mr.
Curry, that that's what she considered her house. She
considered him to be her father. That she didn't have -really have a relationship with Mr. Rivera. But because
there was a court order the Cobb County Police Officers
said, you know, well, we've got to take you.

At that time, Mr. Rivera, who's the biological

father, had made allegations that he believed that Mr.

Curry was doing something either sexually inappropriate or what he confers as grooming the child for some sort of sexual exploitation. Because he made those allegations,

Crimes Against Children detectives were called to the scene. They interviewed the child.

At that time, the child said no, you know, nothing's ever happened. I don't feel uncomfortable. Nothing sexual or inappropriate has ever occurred between me and Mr. Curry. They didn't feel like there was anything further that needed to happen, and the minor child was transferred then, to Mr. Rivera, the biological father's house.

She stayed with Mr. Rivera from that point until, I think it was April 15th. So, from March 3rd to about April 15th she was in Mr. Rivera's custody. While she was there the child reports to me that he told her she could no longer contact Mr. Curry, and that they took away the cellphone that she had, that had been provided from Mr. Curry, and gave her a new cellphone.

During that time, Mr. Rivera also took the minor child to CHOA for a sexual forensic exam. While she was there, the child reported to the doctor that she did not know why that she had been brought to the hospital for this exam. She told the doctor that she'd never had sex,

she'd never had any sexual contact with anyone, that Mr. Curry had never made any sexual advances towards her, and that she didn't understand why this was being asked of her. A doctor and nurse interviewed the child. They made a recommendation in their report that they didn't think any -- there was no substantiated sexual abuse allegations and that, you know, she was to be released.

We came before the Court -- I was appointed. I met with the child while she was residing with Mr. Rivera. At that point, she didn't have any contact with Mr. Curry. I met with her, asked her, you know, some of the same questions about the sexual allegations and what she wanted, and she told me that she thought of Mr. Curry as her father, she wanted to see him. Mr. Curry has a 10-year-old son who's lived with her, that she thinks of as her brother, that she wanted to, you know, see Mr. Curry, have visitation with Mr. Curry.

I informed this to the parties. We had a temporary hearing in front of Judge Flournoy where he ordered a weekly rotation. So, the child would start one week with mom, one week with Mr. Curry, and then one week with Mr. Rivera. So, she started that rotation after spending six weeks with just Mr. Rivera. She did a week with mom. Then she did a week with Mr. Curry, and it was a week and some change, because she had a cheerleading competition

that kind of bled over.

So, after doing the initial rotation, I've met with her four different times, and she says that, you know, she doesn't want to continue doing the rotation, that it's stressful for her. She doesn't like it. She wants to be at Mr. Curry's house. She thinks of it as her house. She states that, you know, the biological father didn't have a lot of interaction with her during her life, and that, you know, while she loves him and cares for him, you know, they don't have a close relationship. She doesn't want to be there.

So, there became issues when it was time for the minor to return back to Mr. Rivera's custody where his parents showed up at the carpool line of the school to pick her up, and she wasn't in the carpool line. And she sent me messages that said, my grandparents came to pick me up; I don't want -- I'm not -- I refuse to go home with them. So, this became an issue where she's saying, I'm not going back to Mr. Rivera's house.

She reported that when she's in Mr. Rivera's custody that it's typically his parents that are watching her.

They take her to school. They pick her up from school.

She stays there until late in the evening, then they bring her back to dad.

The other issue that she stated was that she doesn't

have her own room at the father's house, that she's sleeping in the master bedroom, in the dad's bed, and he's sleeping on the couch, and that, you know, she doesn't really have a safe place there, and that, you know, she feels like Mr. Rivera and his parents are upset with her because she hasn't stated that she wants to live primarily with him, and that they, like, harp on her about that.

The other concern is that the minor child has stated that the biological father continues to make comments to her about that Mr. Curry isn't her blood father, and that he's not her real family, and that he is concerned about why someone who's not her blood relative would want custody of her, and I think that's concerning for this child that's been through a lot.

She's been interviewed by Cobb DFCS. She's been interviewed by CHOA. She's been interviewed by Crimes

Against Children Safepath. She's been interviewed by her school counselor, and she's been interviewed by me. She's never made any declarations of any sort of concerns about Mr. Curry, but she has been saying that she doesn't want to go back to Mr. Rivera's house.

Recently what occurred is that she won't go home.

She's not getting in the carpool line. She's refusing to go home when they're picking her up. She's not answering their calls, so, she's going back to Mr. Curry's house.

And then recently Mr. Rivera showed up at Mr. Curry's house with the police to enforce his custody. And I'm getting phone calls from the child, crying, saying, I don't want to go; the police are here; I shouldn't have to go.

And none of the parties can agree on anything. I made a recommendation in this case about -- I guess on May 20th, that Mr. Curry would have primary custody and we would do, you know, weekend visitation with biological father and weekend visitation with biological mother. The parties seem to be in agreement on that.

And then, after that was filed, then Mr. Rivera filed a Motion for Recusal for Judge Flournoy, so I wasn't able to get in front of the Judge. I had told the parties, this is my recommendation, you know, the child's having a lot of issues, so if y'all can't come to an agreement, I'm going to get before Judge Flournoy, because at our temporary hearing he said if something comes up, you know, we can get you in here quickly to address it. And after I filed my motion asking for Judge Flournoy to hear some relief is when father filed a Motion for Recusal of Judge Flournoy, so that it precluded me from being able to get any relief from our assigned Judge in this matter.

And my concern here is that the child is not wanting to go with Mr. Rivera during his custody time. She's not

getting in the carpool line for his parents. And then, she's refusing to go anywhere when it's his turn, and we can't keep having the police called and this child, you know, in tears because she's not fulfilling the current custody order. And at her age, you know, absent taping her to the car and driving her over, you know it's kind of hard to force a 15-year-old girl to do something she doesn't want to do.

And that's where we are right now is that I'm asking for, you know, temporary relief for this child, that she not be forced to go back over to Mr. Rivera's house where she doesn't have her own room and Mr. Rivera isn't even there watching her. And I think that's in the best interest of this child is to modify this, at least on a temporary basis, until we can have our assigned Judge hear a final in this case.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. CANALE: Yes, thank you, Your Honor. May it please the Court, as Your Honor is aware, my name is David Canale. I represent Gina Scharle in this case. Ms. Scharle is the biological mother of the minor child, Giana. I don't have a lot to add to what the guardian has stated other than my client is aware of what the guardian's recommendation was ultimately, for custody. My client is in agreement with that.

My client is also aware, and if I called her to testify, which I don't know if I will based upon the timeframe, but I'll state in my place that my client would testify to the Court she's aware of Giana's issues on the visitation with Mr. Rivera. The evidence would show that the child has had a strained and estranged relationship with her father, so I think that's the reason for the child's reluctance in being forced.

But in terms of the emergency here today, obviously, if the current circumstances are causing emotional upset to the child, and emotional upheaval, then we would agree that, you know, the Court ought to take this matter under consideration and then make a ruling that, you know, obviously, if this child is undergoing this emotional upset when she's being attempted to force the visits with her father, that the child not have to go. And I think that's basically what the guardian's recommendation is, and I'll just state in my place that my client is in agreement with that, and we have no objection to it.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BROWNING: I'm the attorney for Mr. Curry, the equitable caregiver. So, I didn't know if you wanted them to go next or me. I'll go.

THE COURT: It doesn't matter to me.

MR. BROWNING: What the guardian said sounds like

it's, you know, pretty well that's the case. We got a child that is 15, almost 16. She'll be 16 in September.

And as the guardian said, what do we do? Get duct tape, strap her to the car and take her over there? It's pretty hard to do that, and especially when you've got a child who's in distress and stressed out about, you know, basically, I want to see my bio father when I want to.

So, I think the guardian's recommendation was that Mr. Curry have custody. He has a long history, as the guardian said, with the child. The child looks, and I think the guardian said this, as, this is my father; he's the person that has raised me, basically, and that I'd like to go see my bio dad when I would like to.

And, of course, the mom, as I understand from Mr.

Canale, she's in agreement with, I think, what the guardian was saying, is custody be with him and each parent would have a weekend per month. And what the child is advocating through the guardian is, but I don't want to have to be forced; I'd rather go see my bio dad when I would like to go see him.

And so, that's our position too, is that, you know, at this stage there was -- I think the guardian may have said there was an estranged relationship, or a strained relationship with the bio dad. The mom, the bio mom, and the child lived with Mr. Curry, and whether it was on and

off or continuous, it's been going on for, like, eight or ten years, so he's provided all the support to her, took her everywhere. He's got her in school, got her in sports, takes her to her doctor's visits, et cetera, and has supported her and the mom.

The guardian did mention about they had a breakup, I guess you'd say, back in the summertime, and even though bio mom left, she wanted Mr. Curry to still have the child, the child would still be there. And then what happened was in either February or January, bio mom got arrested and so that's when bio dad showed up.

He had filed a Petition for Legitimization about 2015, something like that, and they did a legitimization on a temporary order. That case sat there for over five years, and we all learned in the Civil Practice Act, if there's no order in five years, the case automatically stands dismissed.

Bio dad files an emergency motion on the dead case before the court. Mom, bio mom didn't show up, so the Court, okay, what is it; I got a case? There's a child, this is my child, and gets an order from the Court. So, he had that.

Contemporaneous with that, he then took a TPO out against Mr. Curry, that we had a hearing on the TPO for Mr. Curry. It was dismissed. We didn't even put our case

up. It was dismissed. It was dismissed right at the end of March, and right behind that then we filed the equitable petition case. It's that new law that came about in July, that a third party can file just like a grandparent can file for custody based on the fact they've got a relationship with the child, it's a close relationship, and there's a psychological dependency on each other.

We filed that, had the hearing with Judge Flournoy, and he basically said, Mr. Curry, you get a week, mom you get a week, and bio dad, you get a week, and so that rotation started. And then what happened, when it came up for dad's, bio dad's time, that's when grandparents — the grandparents come, and she won't go — she won't get in the carpool line. She won't go. And that's what the guardian was talking about, she refused, saying she wouldn't go. Did it a second time, wouldn't go. She calls up the guardian crying, I don't want to go.

And then the police showed up for the second time. The first time was when there was an allegation that she'd been kidnapped, and police came and they said, we got a court order that says, you know, pick the child up. As the guardian said, you know, the child told the police, I don't want to go; this is who I look to as my daddy and this is where I want to stay. So, then we had the

temporary hearing and Judge Flournoy did that.

So, in the meantime, the guardian files for, we need to change this, the child's not going, and so recusal was filed by them as to Flournoy, and so we now are here on the emergency or urgent that we've got a child in distress, that's stressed out about, I don't want to visit with my bio dad; I want to visit him on my terms if I want to go see him; I love him but, you know, I get stressed out over there.

And that's what we're asking you, Judge, for, to help this child out. Thank you.

MR. MCMASTER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. My name is Matthew McMaster and I represent Jonathan Rivera, the biological father in this case. And we're here under 21-01795, and for the record, Christopher Curry is not a party to that case, just so the Court is aware of that.

You heard a lot of things that are just not true.

So, the guardian says that Mr. Curry and mom have been in a relationship for 10 years. The reality is from 2011 to 2012 mom was with another man named Michael (unintelligible). In 2013 she did then move in with Mr. Curry. This is all pursuant to Mr. Rivera's affidavit.

In 2018 mom and child again moved in with dad. In 2019 mom and child moved in with another boyfriend by the name of Ronald Todd. And then in 2020 mom and child lived with

their Aunt Jackie on the mom's side.

So, it has not been 10 years straight. This child literally has five different stepfathers, and mother just had another child in November from another man. I don't know his name but I'm sure the guardian does. So, mom has been with five different men over the last -- that we know of, for the last 10 years. They're playing this narrative that he's been the father through this whole time. No. No. Mr. Curry just is the one that won't go away. We know that type.

He's a 42-year-old man. This girl is 15 years old. She has a father willing to take her in. Yeah, he works late hours. So what? That's being a man. That's being a father. Yeah, he sleeps on the couch when she stays with him. Again, that's a man being a father. And yeah, she stays with his parents when he can't be home for her. That's what the law is meant to do. It's meant to secure the nucleus.

They're using this Equitable Care Act for an exboyfriend to come in and take custody of this 15-year-old girl. That's what they're doing.

THE COURT: So, how do you deal with the fact the child doesn't want to be part of that?

MR. MCMASTER: We don't know that. We don't know the child doesn't want to be part of that, because the child

will not return texts, and his phone calls go straight to voice. So, we don't know. Prior to the child having Mr. Curry's phone, she communicated frequently and regularly with father. The second they swapped phones, dead.

So, Your Honor, if what they were saying was true, I would say, let him have the child. Great, he can provide for her. He's doing what he wants. And I've done that. I've advised clients, hey, they're going to make your life a living hell, you know, until this child is 18; you might -- if she's safe, she's comfortable, let them have the child. I've done that with clients.

But that's not the case here, because I don't know what they're saying is true. I have no evidence to show it's true. What I have evidence of is when child is with Mr. Curry, there's no contact to the grandparents, to Mr. Rivera. We've contacted police. We get reprimanded by the guardian.

They've literally broken down every safeguard that society has set up to protect a child. We have DFCS. We have law enforcement. We have Judges. We have guardians. We have parents. We have grandparents. They've made allegations that the grandparents yell at the child and berate her and talk about the case, so they're trying to cut out the grandparents. They're obviously trying to cut out the dad. He hasn't seen the child but for less than

24 hours over the last month.

In the guardian's Motion, she makes at least 12 false allegations. I'm going to have her on the stand in a minute and you'll see. She claims that the grandparents -- Well, you know what? We'll get to it, Your Honor. We'll get to it. She's trying to cut out the grandparents. And they've cut out law enforcement, right? They claim -- The guardian told you, oh, she called me crying. Well, Your Honor, I don't know if you're a father, if you have children, if you have grandchildren, but you --

THE COURT: All the above.

MR. MCMASTER: Okay. Then you understand that if your child is crying, you take care of it. You don't say, go call the guardian. So, when the child calls the guardian crying, what do you think really happened?

Someone had an agenda. When my child's crying because someone's bullying them, I don't say, hey, go talk to your mom. I say -- You know, I counsel the child. That child has never called the guardian crying from dad's. She's never called the guardian crying from her mom's. But she called the guardian crying from the boyfriend. Why do you think that happened? Use your commonsense, please.

This is a total misuse of the Equitable Care Act.

This case never had -- This court never had subject matter

| 1 | jurisdiction, Your Honor. So, under the statute And |
|----|--|
| 2 | they got caught. That's what happened. They got caught. |
| 3 | Under the statute it says, under O.C.G.A. 19-7-3.1: This |
| 4 | code section shall not authorize an original action so |
| 5 | long as the Division of Family and Children Services of |
| 6 | MR. BROWNING: I've got |
| 7 | MR. MCMASTER: the Department of Human Services |
| 8 | |
| 9 | MR. BROWNING: I've got an objection. |
| 10 | MR. MCMASTER: has an open child welfare and youth |
| 11 | services case involving said |
| 12 | MR. BROWNING: I've got an objection. |
| 13 | MR. MCMASTER: child or his or her parents. |
| 14 | THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Browning. |
| 15 | MR. BROWNING: Judge, we're here on the guardian's |
| 16 | Motion. We're not here on a complete grand, universal |
| 17 | review of this case. We've got the guardian's Motion. |
| 18 | That's what we're here on. |
| 19 | MR. MCMASTER: Correct, Your Honor. We're here on a |
| 20 | show cause Rule Nisi, and I'm showing cause. I'm |
| 21 | absolutely showing cause. I mean, we're dealing with a |
| 22 | 15-year-old girl. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Well, I don't want to hear All I want |
| 24 | to hear about is this. |
| 25 | MR. MCMASTER: Perfect. Perfect. They got caught. |

That's what happened. They got caught.

THE COURT: And basically --

MR. MCMASTER: There's an open DFCS case. I'm killing their credibility is what I'm doing.

THE COURT: Is there an open DFCS case?

MS. HUNGERFORD: No, Judge, there's not. And I actually subpoenaed the DFCS records here for an in-camera inspection.

THE COURT: This right here.

MS. HUNGERFORD: Yes, Judge. And I received information from Mr. Dean that the case was -- I received it actually today, that the case was closed back in April, April 21, 2020. I think he said the records would reflect that there is no open case. There actually wasn't a case initiated. They responded to a report. They did an investigation which involved talking to the child and Mr. Rivera. They never investigated or spoke with the mom or Mr. Curry. And because there were no allegations confirmed, of sexual abuse, by the child, they terminated the case.

MR. MCMASTER: Let me correct what's going on here. So, the order they're trying to modify was entered on April 19th. There was an open DFCS case at that time. Pursuant to O.C.G.A. 19-7-3.1 this court did not have original jurisdiction over that matter. It was granted

and the guardian contacted, per her bill, on April 20th, DFCS. The problem with that is, it was then closed the next day. In other words, the guardian found out there was a case open and said, oh, crap. Didn't tell anybody. And then it was closed the next day. The guardian interfered with that DFCS case.

And the statute is written this way because the whole point is such that the litigation does not interfere with DFCS's independent judgment. That's what happened and they got caught, because two days after that --

THE COURT: If they got caught, why'd the case get dismissed?

MR. MCMASTER: What case?

THE COURT: The DFCS case.

MR. MCMASTER: No, no, no. I caught that. DFCS doesn't know what the statute says regarding 19-7-6.1 (sic). That's not DFCS's problem. They didn't know -- They didn't tell the Court, oh, crap, your order is void because this court does not have original jurisdiction over this action. So, that's what happened. They got caught.

But we were hogtied, because at the time Judge

Flournoy was the Judge. And I don't know if you read the

recusal but Mr. Browning here is the Judge's treasurer for

his election campaign. His son was the Judge's staff

| 1 | attorney in 2002. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. BROWNING: Judge, I've got |
| 3 | MR. MCMASTER: And then he represented |
| 4 | MR. BROWNING: I've got an objection. |
| 5 | MR. MCMASTER: And then he represented the Judge in |
| 6 | his own custody case in 2010. They got caught and we were |
| 7 | hogtied. |
| 8 | THE COURT: Well, that's a matter I think it's |
| 9 | assigned to Judge Harris or something. |
| 10 | MR. BROWNING: No, the case has not been reassigned. |
| 11 | MR. MCMASTER: It has been reassigned. |
| 12 | MR. BROWNING: So, Judge, here's what it is |
| 13 | MR. MCMASTER: He's not (unintelligible) |
| 14 | THE COURT: Well, I've about heard enough. |
| 15 | MR. MCMASTER: They got caught. I keep catching them |
| 16 | is what happened. |
| 17 | THE COURT: I want to hear why it's in the best |
| 18 | interest of that child that they continue this rotation, |
| 19 | not just |
| 20 | MR. MCMASTER: No, no. It's not in the best interest |
| 21 | of the child to be with this man at all. He's 42 and |
| 22 | she's 15, and we don't know what the truth is. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Well, how old is he? |
| 24 | MR. MCMASTER: We don't know what the truth is. |
| 25 | THE COURT: How old is the father? |

1 MR. RIVERA: Thirty-nine, Your Honor. 2 MR. MCMASTER: Thirty-nine. THE COURT: Well? 3 That's her biological father. 4 MR. MCMASTER: 5 THE COURT: And you've had all these investigations and they've found nothing. 7 MR. MCMASTER: We don't know that because the quardian interfered with it, Your Honor. We don't know 9 what's true, because he hasn't -- he's only seen his 10 daughter for less than 24 hours since April 15th when the 11 case -- when the order was entered. He's literally 12 alienating her and they're trying to erase every safeguard 13 available by society: DFCS, police, grandfathers, 14 parents. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 MR. MCMASTER: Your Honor, if --17 THE COURT: There's the protection right there. MR. MCMASTER: 18 It should be. I agree. The problem 19 is she got implicated. She got implicated. She covered 20 up a DFCS case that should have been disclosed, and now --21 And guess who's paying her bill? Mr. Curry. 22 THE COURT: Well, somebody has to. 23 MR. MCMASTER: Your Honor, he is only bringing this 24 case because -- He's only allowed to move forward with

this case because that DFCS case was swept under the rug.

In other words, had she disclosed it, it would have had to be dismissed and refiled. She's getting a paycheck because she swept that under the rug.

MS. HUNGERFORD: Judge, if I may respond. Everyone in this case, since I was appointed, was aware there was a DFCS case open, because Mr. Rivera's counsel is the one who told me there was a case opened. If you look at the affidavit that Mr. Rivera filed today, from the TPO office, they said that as of the day of the TPO hearing, they informed Mr. Rivera that they made a referral to DFCS. Mr. Rivera, himself, made a referral to DFCS and opened it. A caseworker before I ever was even appointed in this case came and spoke with Mr. Rivera and the child.

So, to the extent that I'm sweeping any DFCS case under the rug, it's a little appalling to me given the amount of knowledge that this party had of the DFCS case.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MCMASTER: Your Honor, I requested multiple times from the guardian, what day did the DFCS case close, and she never disclosed it to me. She eventually said, I don't know. I had to look at her bill and see she talked to them on April 20th. And so, she says I don't know on May 26th, clearly it was open on April 20th. That's how I pieced it together.

MS. HUNGERFORD: Judge, if I --

1 MR. MCMASTER: Either way, Your Honor, she can take 2 the stand. I'm going to have her take the stand under 3 24.9 and we'll get the truth out.

THE COURT: Okay, well let's get there.

MR. MCMASTER: So, Your Honor, this court does not have jurisdiction to modify a case -- an order that's void, and it's not in the best interest of the child that the Equitable Care Act be abused in this way, when we have no idea what the child wants. We have no idea. If they can convince me that the child --

THE COURT: No, it's me they convince, not you.

MR. MCMASTER: I'm sorry?

THE COURT: It's me they convince, not you.

MR. MCMASTER: Well, I'll consent to it. I'll tell my client, yeah, let her go, and then we don't even have to be here. We're only here because there's a conflict.

I'll get rid of the conflict if they convince me, but they haven't done that.

That aside, Your Honor, custody needs to be modified, absolutely, and Mr. Curry cannot have any bit of custody. It needs to be vacated because the court never had jurisdiction under 19-7-3.1. That objection can come regardless of a motion. Subject matter jurisdiction is always available as an objection at any time, and this court did not have it when Judge Flournoy ordered it. So,

1 that Order needs to be vacated and the Restraining Order 2 needs to be in place again. Mr. Curry needs to not be near this daughter -- this girl. 3 MR. CANALE: May I just make just one comment, Your 4 5 The motion that he's referring to was filed in both of the cases today, so that matter is not scheduled 7 here, as the Court is aware, and we still have 30 days to respond to that motion. So, I would say if he's asking 9 the Court to hear that motion today, we would object 10 because we don't think it's ripe and it's not here before 11 the Court. 12 13 That's it. 14 MR. MCMASTER: Your Honor, I'm asserting it as a

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All I'm hearing is the guardian's Motion.

defense. It doesn't have to be a motion. Subject matter jurisdiction is always on the table.

THE COURT: Well, if that's the case, is there any jurisdiction at all, in this case?

MR. MCMASTER: You're right. Judge, this case -they do not have jurisdiction over the subject matter. They've got to refile. Mr. Curry, he's not even a party to the case we're here for, which is 1795. He's not even a party to that case.

MR. BROWNING: Let me clarify the order that he keeps saying that there was an investigation. The code section

under the Child Caregiver Act refers to the juvenile code section, which is article three, chapter 11, title 15, and that deals with what you and I, when we were practicing law back then, was a deprivation. It's now called dependency.

So, if you have a dependency proceeding going, where a child is before the Court, you can't go around the side and file a child equity case to try to block out what's going on in juvenile court. So, it clearly says that, that you can't do it if that's pending. In the same sentence, it talks about a proceeding, and there's no proceeding in juvenile court, and it talks about a case. There was just a mere reference in this case of somebody referred the matter to juvenile court -- I mean to DFCS. They looked at it. It was an investigation. There never was a juvenile case -- I mean, a DFCS ongoing, where they issued some sort of order and said, here's what it is, here's the safety plan, here's what needs to be done. They saw two people and that was it.

So, saying that this was something that deprived the court originally, of some jurisdiction because of a DFCS case is not within what the code is.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. MCMASTER: Your Honor, it was referred to DFCS by Stephanie Porter and Fernando Ramirez from the TPO office.

| 1 | It was not referred by my client. Those are trained |
|----|---|
| 2 | advocates to identify circumstances that need reporting. |
| 3 | They're the ones who reported it, not my client. And then |
| 4 | |
| 5 | THE COURT: It sounds like |
| 6 | MR. MCMASTER: the guardian interfered with it. |
| 7 | Go ahead. |
| 8 | THE COURT: It sounds like it was a referral. |
| 9 | MR. MCMASTER: Referral. |
| 10 | THE COURT: And not a case. |
| 11 | MR. MCMASTER: Correct. |
| 12 | THE COURT: All right. Are you going to call the |
| 13 | guardian? |
| 14 | MR. MCMASTER: Yes. The guardian's case goes first, |
| 15 | right? It's her Motion. |
| 16 | THE COURT: I think she's pretty well made her case. |
| 17 | MS. HUNGERFORD: Yeah. |
| 18 | MR. MCMASTER: All right. |
| 19 | LESLEE HUNGERFORD, |
| 20 | is examined and testifies as follows: |
| 21 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 22 | BY MR. MCMASTER: |
| 23 | Q. All right, Ms. Hungerford, go to paragraph one of |
| 24 | your Motion. How old is this child? |
| 25 | A. I'm going to need to pull up my Motion, so you can |

```
1
    give me one moment. So, the child is 15 years and eight
2
    months.
3
              Okay. So, you put 16 years, paragraph one. All
         Q.
4
    right, paragraph two, the child was in the primary physical
    custody and resided with her mother and her mother's boyfriend,
5
    Christopher Curry, from 2010 until 2020?
7
         Α.
              Yes.
8
         Q.
              Okay.
9
         Α.
              That is what my Motion states. I understand from
10
    your client's affidavit that he believes it's 2013 to 2020, so
11
12
              Let me ask you, who is Michael Lee?
         0.
13
         Α.
             Michael Lee?
14
              Yes.
         Q.
15
              I'm not familiar with Michael Lee.
         Α.
              Okay. Well, the mother resided with Michael Lee from
16
         Q.
    2011 to 2012 at 635 Burbank Circle, Smyrna, Georgia, 30080.
17
18
              MR. BROWNING: I've got an objection. Hold on.
19
         testifying.
20
              THE COURT: Yep, just ask questions.
21
              MR. MCMASTER: No, I'm reading the affidavit.
22
         the affidavit.
23
              MR. BROWNING: Affidavits are for temporary hearings,
24
         not for emergency hearings.
25
              THE COURT: Just ask questions.
```

1 MR. MCMASTER: It's an affidavit, Your Honor. It's 2 for this hearing. It's an emergency hearing. affidavits at emergency hearings. 3 4 THE COURT: You've got a witness on the stand. 5 just can't speak. BY MR. MCMASTER: 7 Q. Who is Michael Lee? According to your client it's someone that the mother 8 9 dated prior to Mr. Curry. 10 Have you heard of Michael Lee? Q. 11 Not from anyone but your client's recent affidavit Α. 12 that was filed today. 13 Q. Okay. Are you sure it was filed today? 14 That's the first time I'm recalling hearing about Α. 15 Michael Lee. 16 I have a file stamp of June 8, 2021, at 2:27 p.m. Q. 17 Α. Okay. I restate that it was filed yesterday. 18 Okay. And you're saying in 2018 the mother and child Ο. 19 did not live with Mr. Rivera for any period of time? 20 I don't believe I said that. Α. 21 Well, I mean, is that your -- You said she lived with 22 Mr. Curry from 2010 to 2020. 23 Yes, that's a generalized period. I understand that Α. 24 there were times maybe where Ms. Gina lived with your client

for a month or a few weeks at a time, but as a generalized

- 1 statement, my understanding, from the testimony I received, is 2 that for the bulk of the period of 2010 to 2020 that Gina 3 primarily resided with Mr. Curry. Now whether there were months or weeks of time that she wasn't there, that's possible 4 5 as well, but based on the testimony I've received, that's my understanding. 7 Okay. So, your finding is that they did not live Q. 8 with Mr. Rivera for three months in 2018. Is that your 9 finding? 10 That's what your client has alleged. That's not what Α. I've heard from Gina. 11 12 What is your finding? Ο. 13 I mean, she said it was a period of weeks. I don't 14 know. I have two conflicting statements of times, but I will 15 -- My understanding is she stayed with him briefly, but whether 16 it was three months or three weeks, that's differing testimony. 17 0. Okay. So, we can agree that for a period of time in 18 2018 the mother and child resided with the father, Mr. Rivera.
 - A. Correct.

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And that for a period of time in 2019 mother and child resided with Ronald Todd, is that correct?
 - A. I'm not familiar with that.
- Q. In 2020 mother and child lived with Jacqueline Hiltey (phonetic), is that correct?
 - A. Yes, my understanding is that there were periods of

1 time in 2019 where they resided with Mr. Curry and then they 2 would reside with Ms. Hiltey, which is Gina, the biological mother's sister, also with her aunt, and the grandmother, as 3 4 well as the maternal great-grandmother. I don't have specific 5 dates or periods of time. Okay, I'm going to hand you the affidavit of 0. 7 Jacqueline Hiltey, which was at the last hearing on April 15th 8 (presenting). 9 MR. MCMASTER: This is in the case file, Your Honor. 10 BY MR. MCMASTER: 11 I want you to go to paragraph five, subsection (a). Q. 12 MR. BROWNING: Your Honor, I'm going to object to 13 them referring to an affidavit from some other proceeding. 14 We do not have affidavits in these proceedings. Those are 15 in temporary hearings only. 16 MR. MCMASTER: This is a temporary hearing. 17 THE COURT: Well, I think he's intending to ask a 18 question about what may be contained there, but I don't 19 know if he's introducing what's contained there. 20 MR. MCMASTER: Your Honor, this is a temporary 21 They're modifying a temporary order. 22 THE COURT: No, no, no, no. 23 MR. MCMASTER: And the Cobb County Standing Order 24 allows anything filed previously for a temporary hearing

to be used at any other hearing.

BY MR. MCMASTER: 1 2 Okay, Ms. Hiltey -- Excuse me, I'm sorry, Ms. 0. Hungerford, go to paragraph five, subsection (a), where it 3 states: On January 26, 2019, Gina texted me that -- And this 4 5 is the aunt's affidavit, Gina's sister. (Reading) Gina texted me stating that Chris broke my arm by smashing it in the door so I couldn't leave. It was so bad. The kids were there. And 7 8 I need to leave him. (End of reading) 9 Is there a finding by a preponderance of the evidence 10 that Jackie Hiltey was lying? 11 MR. CANALE: Objection, Your Honor. She's not the trier of fact. 12 13 THE COURT: No, she's not. 14 MR. MCMASTER: She is the trier of fact. 15 THE COURT: I sustain the objection. Just deal with 16 the case. 17 MR. MCMASTER: Well, we're dealing with the best 18 interest of the child. This man is very capable of 19 abusing women. That's relevant. 20 THE COURT: No. 21 MR. MCMASTER: It is relevant, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: It might be relevant. The way you're 23 handling it is not, so I sustain the objection. 24 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. CANALE: 25 BY MR. MCMASTER:

1 Ο. Ms. Hungerford, is it your finding by a preponderance 2 of the evidence that --THE COURT: Well, I don't know if she's finding --3 MR. CANALE: I'll let him ask the question but --4 5 THE COURT: -- by a preponderance of the evidence. I sustain the objection to the form of your question. 6 7 MR. CANALE: And I would have a continuing objection if he asks any other questions like that. 9 THE COURT: Make it every time you need to. 10 MR. CANALE: Yes, sir. Thank you, Your Honor. 11 BY MR. MCMASTER: 12 Ms. Hungerford, has Christopher Curry ever abused the 13 mother physically? 14 Α. Not that I'm aware of. 15 So, you believe Jackie Hiltey was lying? Ο. 16 Jackie Hiltey --Α. 17 THE COURT: That's not in evidence. 18 THE WITNESS: Jackie Hiltey --19 MR. MCMASTER: It's her affidavit. 20 THE WITNESS: -- filed this affidavit, making these 21 allegations of text messages. I asked Gina, who Jackie is 22 saying said these things, directly about whether Mr. Curry 23 had ever physically abused her, and her response was no. 24 There are no police reports. There's no incident reports. 25 There's no ER visits in which substantiate any of these

1 claims that Ms. Hiltey alleges in this. 2 BY MR. MCMASTER: Q. So, these text messages attached to the affidavit, 3 those aren't real? 4 5 I have no way of verifying whether these are real or not? 7 Do you believe they're real? Q. I have no way of knowing. Α. 9 Q. I said, do you believe they're real? 10 And I don't know. That's my answer. Α. 11 I said, do you believe they're real. Q. 12 MR. CANALE: Objection. 13 MR. BROWNING: Your Honor, she's answered --14 THE COURT: She's answered it three times. 15 MR. MCMASTER: She didn't answer the question. She 16 did not answer the question. 17 THE WITNESS: My answer is, I don't know. BY MR. MCMASTER: 18 19 Q. Do you believe, not do you know? Do you believe 20 they're real? 21 A. I believe that I don't have a yes or no answer to 22 that. 23 THE COURT: Well, you can't get into her mind. Move 24 on. 25 MR. MCMASTER: She's not telling the truth.

1 for real. 2 MR. CANALE: Your Honor --THE COURT: I've heard enough. 3 MR. MCMASTER: 4 Okay. 5 THE COURT: Would you like to leave with the deputy? MR. MCMASTER: No, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Then start behaving. MR. MCMASTER: Your Honor, I'm trying to protect a 8 9 15-year-old girl from going with an abusive man. 10 THE COURT: That's what the Court's here for, too. 11 I hope so. I hope so. MR. MCMASTER: 12 BY MR. MCMASTER: 13 Q. Okay, go to paragraph 10 of your Motion. It says: 14 The quardian shows that the child has made three rotations of 15 the visitation plan. The child has made an election as to who 16 she wishes to primarily live with and has asked for the weekly rotation to be ended. 17 18 What do you mean by three rotations? 19 So, she was with your client for six weeks. She did 20 a rotation with mom. She did a rotation with Mr. Curry. She 21 went back to your client's house, then she went back to Mr. --22 mom, and then went back to Mr. Curry. So, she's done a full 23 rotation of all three visits. Okay. So, since the Order on April $15^{\rm th}$, how long has 24 Q.

25

the child been with my client?

- A. Since the temporary I believe it's been -- She returned on May 6th, so she was there for 24 hours, and then I believe she went back -- I'll have to look at my calendar -- subsequent to that. At least 24 hours.
 - Q. Okay. So, since April 15th the father has seen this child for less than 24 hours?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And you're saying three rotations were completed?
- A. Rotations meaning one week with a -- one week with dad, one week with Mr. Curry, one week with mom. The child was in your client's custody for six weeks proceeding the temporary hearing, then we had a week, then another week. Then she came back to your client's. Which she would have come back earlier except for your client wasn't home when she was supposed to be returned, which we gave him an extra day. And then she went back to mom's and then she went back to Mr. Curry. And then all of this stuff happened with her saying she didn't want to return to your client's house. And that's why this is an emergency hearing, because the child is refusing to return to your client's house and we're having to get police involved, and no one can agree or anything, and now the child is being put in the middle of all this.
- Q. How do you know the child's refusing to see Mr. Rivera?
 - A. Because I've spoken with the child. I'm getting text

messages from the child. I've got a text message from the child, from when she was with your client last, on May 6th, that says: I don't want to be here.

This is while she's with your client. When I talked to her -- She called me on the phone crying, saying, I don't want to go back, and I had to tell her, I'm sorry, we've got to follow the court order. But she's saying, I'm not going to get in my abuela's car; I don't want to go home with them; they yell at me.

So, I speak with the child and that's what she's telling me, and as the guardian, it's my job to advocate for her best interest, and I just don't think it's in the best interest to continue to place the child in that position.

- Q. If Mr. Curry has control of her phone and has access to all the text messages, how do you know the child is telling the truth, and not just -- she's not scared of Mr. Curry?
- A. Well, the child was in your client's custody on May 6th, and this was time stamped, so unless Mr. Curry got her phone and went to your client's house and made her text this, then I have to believe that the text is true. And when I've spoken with the child, I speak with her independently. I've met with her at my office twice. I've met with her at the school. I've talked to her when she's on the phone. So, there's no one there that's coercing her to say the things that she's saying to me. And I've met with her in places I feel

like she feels safe, and she's been very candid with me about lots of topics. I don't see why she would be lying about this, and especially I don't see -- She's been communicating with her school counselor, or she was until school ended, on a weekly basis. I spoke with the school counselor and she's telling the school counselor the same thing. So, I just don't think, you know, based off she repeatedly says the same thing to multiple sources, that this is some sort of lie or she's being coerced into saying this.

- Q. Okay. So, what safeguards are there for this child if there's an issue with Mr. Curry and abuse?
- A. Safeguards in the fact that she has a phone, she speaks with her mom. She can contact me. She contacts me on a frequent basis when she needs to. So, if there was something going on, she has the ability to call 911. She can reach out to her family. And she obviously can reach out to me. But there's been no evidence of anything that would cause her concern or fear of her safety. I've got the records from the detective from Safepath who met with her when she was first there is that she told detectives: I don't have any fear of safety with Mr. Curry.

She then told the nurse and the doctor at CHOA the same thing, she's not in fear of her safety with Mr. Curry.

She told her guidance counselor the same thing. She's told me the same thing. There's been four different, independent

1 sources here that met with this child, discussed her safety, 2 discussed concerns, and she has told everyone that she has none. So, if there was something going on, this child has had 3 4 ample opportunity to tell anyone in this case. And she's been 5 with your client. I mean, she was with your client on May 6th. She never made any allegations to him that, I don't feel safe 7 with Mr. Curry; don't make me go back to Mr. Curry. That's never been alleged, so I don't have any basis 9 to believe that she's in any sort of safety concerns while in 10 his custody. 11 If she is scared of Mr. Curry, and she knows there's 12 a possibility she's going back to Mr. Curry, and she senses 13 that you're on Mr. Curry's side, why would she tell you the 14 truth? 15 MR. CANALE: Objection, calls for speculation, Your 16 Honor. 17 MR. MCMASTER: No, it doesn't. 18 THE COURT: Yes, it does. 19 THE WITNESS: Well, one, I don't think --20 THE COURT: No, you don't have to answer. 21 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 22 THE COURT: I sustain the objection to the form of 23 the question. 24 MR. CANALE: Thank you, Your Honor. 25 BY MR. MCMASTER:

- Q. Do you have children, Ms. Hungerford?
- A. I have two children.
 - Q. Do they sense when you're scared of something?

 MR. CANALE: I object to the form of the question,

5 Your Honor. It calls for speculation again.

THE COURT: Right. I sustain the objection.

MR. MCMASTER: I can't subpoena a child.

BY MR. MCMASTER:

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. If Mr. Curry has absolute control over this phone, how do we ensure that the child is safe?
- I'm confused by your question. The child has Α. possession of her own cellphone. The child came to my office. I sat down with her, went through her phone, made sure no one was blocked, made sure she could make text messages in and out. I verified that with the child in my office with just me and her. So, she has a phone. She's able to contact me via text message. She's able to contact me via email. I've had no problems getting in touch with her when I've called her to communicate with her. So, my understanding is that she's got free access to her phone. If anything were to happen to her, that she'd be able to reach out. She also has speech therapy twice a week with her therapist. I think if she had any issues, she could tell the therapist. She's got cheerleading She could tell someone at the gym if anything's practice. going on. But I believe she's got the ability to contact --

1 freely contact whomever she wants. 2 All right, Ms. Hungerford, I'm going to hand you --I'll call it Plaintiff's exhibit one (presenting). It's your 3 4 bill. On the bill, your line item on April 20, 2021, review 5 and respond to an email with Mr. Curry. Do you agree that that occurred? 7 Α. Item 4/21/2021, it's the third line down? 4/20, page one. Q. 9 Α. Okay. Yes. 10 Q. So, 4/21 on the next page, phone conference with Mr. 11 Curry. Is that accurate? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Q. Further down, also on 4/21, email with Mr. Curry. 14 That's my office manager, Kelly Morrell, so she does Α. 15 billing. Is that accurate? 16 Ο. 17 Α. Yes, that's not an email from me. That would be an 18 email from Kelly Morrell. 19 Okay. On 4/22 the phone conference with Mr. Curry. Ο. 20 Α. Yes. 21 On 4/22 again there's another phone call with Mr. 22 Curry and a note to attorney as well? 23 That is Kylie Hall. That would be our front office, Α. so that's not a phone call from me. That's a phone call from 24 25 him to our office.

1 Ο. Is it accurate? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Okay. On 4/22 phone call to Chris Curry, add Q. appointment calendar. 4 5 Yes, and again, that's Allison Marshall. 6 paralegal. 7 Q. Okay. On 4/27 receive and review of email from Mr. 8 Curry. Is that accurate? 9 Α. That's correct. 10 MR. MCMASTER: And Your Honor, do you have a copy of 11 this? 12 THE COURT: No. It's not in evidence yet. 13 MR. MCMASTER: Okay. Wondering if you wanted to 14 follow along. 15 BY MR. MCMASTER: Q. Ms. Hungerford, just to get it in, is this a true and 16 17 correct copy of your bill for the date range of April 19th 18 through May 17th? 19 Α. It is. 20 Q. Okay. 21 MR. MCMASTER: I'd like to admit this into evidence 22 as Plaintiff's exhibit one. 23 MR. CANALE: No objection, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: It's entered without objection. 25 MR. BROWNING: No objection.

1 MR. MCMASTER: Can I hand a copy to the bench? 2 THE COURT: Sure. 3 MR. MCMASTER: Thank you. BY MR. MCMASTER: 4 On May 3, 2021, receive and review email from 5 6 Christopher Curry. Is that correct? 7 Α. Sorry, I'm going down. Yes, that's correct. May 4th phone call with Christopher Curry. Ο. 9 Α. That's correct. 10 May 4th again, receive and review of emails from --Q. 11 Yeah, and I can save you time. Everything that's on Α. 12 here is true and accurate and occurred. 13 Q. Okay. So, let's go here. We have one on page one, 14 one, two, three, four, five on page two. These are 15 communications with Mr. Curry, either email or phone. So, 16 we've got one on page one, five on page two, six on page three. 17 Okay, so that is 12 communications with Mr. Curry, either email 18 or phone, with you or your office from April 19th through May 19 17th. Is that correct? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. Okay. How many communications do you have with Mr. 22 Rivera? 23 I think I've had four emails with Mr. Rivera and one Α. 24 phone conference. 25 How about between 4/19 and May 17^{th} ?

Q.

A. None. And I'll say, in my guardian cases, I find

it's one or two things. I either communicate with the client

directly or I communicate with their counsel. In your case, I

communicate with you, not your client.

- Q. Why do you communicate with me? I have no -- I'm not a witness.
- A. Well, you're not a witness but when I need communications for information in this case, I communicated between you and I, and you've facilitated all the information from your client to me. Your client's never called me, and the two times he's emailed me I've responded. Over the course of this litigation, I have over 92 emails from you. So, I would say the basis of my communication with your client has been through you, through counsel.
- Q. Okay. So how many communications with my client from April $19^{\rm th}$ to May $17^{\rm th}$?
- A. Well, I don't know. It's going to be whatever's in here, but I would say likely none considering I've had four email communications with him over the course of this litigation.
- Q. Zero. It's zero. How many communications between you and mother, Gina, between 4/19 and 5/17?
- A. None.

Q. So, if there's three sides of the story and you're only communicating with Mr. Curry, how are you supposed to know

1 what's true? 2 MR. BROWNING: Your Honor, that's an argumentative 3 question. I object. THE COURT: Yes. 4 5 MR. MCMASTER: Your Honor, I had a certified copy of a police report, but right now I just have a copy. If 6 7 people are going to have objections to it, I'll keep looking, but if people are okay with the regular copy, 9 I'll go ahead and use it. Does anyone have objection to a 10 copy of a certified copy? MR. BROWNING: We haven't seen it. 11 12 MR. CANALE: Yeah, we haven't seen it. 13 MR. BROWNING: I don't know what it is. 14 MR. MCMASTER: You want to look at it? 15 MR. BROWNING: Yeah. 16 (Brief pause.) 17 MR. BROWNING: No objection, Your Honor. 18 MR. CANALE: No objection, Your Honor. 19 MR. BROWNING: Do you have an extra copy of that? 20 I don't. I had the certified one. MR. MCMASTER: Ι 21 still think it's over here. I just can't find it. 22 BY MR. MCMASTER: 23 Ms. Hungerford, in your Motion, paragraph 20, you 24 write: During the last visitation period with Mr. Rivera, the 25 minor child snuck out of the house and smoked marijuana with

1 friends; the minor child reports that Mr. Rivera works late 2 hours and was unaware that she even left the house. 3 Α. Yes. 4 Was that her first time smoking marijuana? Q. 5 That's what the child indicated to me. Q. She said, That's the first time I've ever smoked 6 7 marijuana? Α. That's what she said. 9 Q. When she told you that, whose custody was she in at 10 the time? 11 I believe she was in her mother's custody, and she Α. 12 had told the mother what had happened, and then that was told 13 to me, and I asked her to come in. She came to my office, and 14 we sat down, and we had a conversation about it. 15 Mr. Curry had custody of the child at the end of December 2020; is that correct? 16 17 Α. That's what I believe, yes. 18 Okay. Did Mr. Curry give mother money for that 0. 19 exchange? 20 Not that I'm aware of. Α. 21 Q. Are you sure? 22 When you say give her money for that exchange, I'm Α. 23 not aware of him giving her money in exchange for the child, 24 no.

Okay. Does mother have a drug problem?

25

Q.

1 Α. Yes. 2 Okay. How does she purchase her drugs? Q. 3 I have no idea. Α. 4 MR. CANALE: Objection, calls for speculation, Your 5 Honor. 6 MR. MCMASTER: She may know. 7 THE WITNESS: I don't know. No. 8 THE COURT: She answered. 9 BY MR. MCMASTER: 10 Okay, when mother needs money, who does she get it Q. 11 from? 12 MR. CANALE: Objection, that calls for speculation. 13 MR. MCMASTER: She may know. She may know. 14 THE WITNESS: I do not know. 15 BY MR. MCMASTER: Okay. Go to page five of your bill. The payment 16 Q. 17 summary, parties are under court order to each pay a third of 18 your bill, correct? 19 That's correct. Α. 20 Ο. And we have a Jose Rivera, and you agree that's the 21 grandfather of Mr. -- Or, excuse me. Was that Mr. Rivera's 22 father, Jose Rivera? Is that your understanding? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Okay. And then you have Christopher Curry paying 25 twice and mom not paying anything; is that correct?

1 Α. That's correct. 2 Let me ask you again, when mom needs money, who does 0. 3 she get it from? I have no idea. I've not discussed with mom how she 4 5 receives money. Ο. You have no idea? 7 That has not been a conversation that the mother and Α. 8 I have had. 9 Q. What if I told you I had an idea? 10 MR. BROWNING: Your Honor, he's arguing with the 11 witness. 12 THE COURT: Well, your opinion -- I sustain the 13 objection. 14 BY MR. MCMASTER: Ms. Hungerford, do you agree that mother and father 15 probably can't afford a guardian? 16 17 I would agree that most people can't afford a Α. 18 quardian, but yes, I would assume none of the parties in here 19 can afford this much litigation. 20 So, as long as Christopher Curry is in this Ο. 21 litigation, you get paid, correct? 22 Α. No. 23 Who's covering two-thirds of your bill at this time? Q. 24 My understanding is Mr. Curry's paid his portion of Α. 25 the bill; your client's paid one portion of the bill.

1 you'd have to call our account manager in here, because I don't
2 deal with money and who pays it.

- Q. Okay. So, from April 19th -- On April 19th and April 27th, Mr. Curry did cover the mother's bill, correct?
- A. These were payments that were made by him, so this is applied to his trust account. But again, you'd have to call Kelly Morrell in my office, because she's the one who processes payments. So, if someone comes in to pay the bill, they don't come to me and say, Leslee, here's your money, and I process it. We have an account manager who handles all of our finances. So, you would need to call her, and she could tell you how it was applied and how much was paid. But if it has his name, that means it's paid into his trust account. So, each -- In a guardian case for our firm, each parent or party has their own trust account, and it is applied. So, any money he paid would go into the Chris Curry trust account, same thing for Jose, and same thing for Gina. And then that's applied to the bill.
- Q. Paragraph 17 you state that the grandparents yell at the child.
- A. Yes, that's what the child has indicated to me on multiple occasions.
 - Q. And did you talk to the grandparents about that?
- A. So, I talked to the grandparents originally. The grandmother does not speak English very well. It's very

difficult to have a conversation with her, because she did not

-- she did not communicate in English. The grandfather did a

little bit better, but again, there's a little bit of a

language barrier, so I've not called them since, due to that

reason. But I don't have a reason to believe that the child's

making that up, because she has said it on multiple occasions,

and she's given pretty detailed descriptions of what has

happened and why they're yelling at her. And that's not to say

they're yelling at her the entire time they're there, but in

particular to this case, the child has stated that the

grandparents are very upset with her and want her to, you know,

say that she wants to live primarily with your client, and that

they're upset that she's not saying that.

- Q. Under your current recommendation, how much visitation will father get in a month?
- A. Under my current recommendation, he would get visitation on the first weekend of every month.
- Q. And how is he going to enforce that?
 - A. That's not for me to say.

- Q. Well, what's your recommendation?
- A. That's kind of the root of the problem here is that the child does not want to go, so absent -- absent someone literally physically picking her up and carrying her to your client's house and making her stay there, I don't know that she would. She's already confirmed that while she was with your

```
1
    client she left the house, snuck out, went and met up with
2
    boys, smoked weed, and returned and your client didn't know, so
    she's able to leave. She's resourceful. So, I can't force her
3
4
    to stay there. The Court can't force her to stay there.
5
    that's the problem, and that's really why this is an emergency,
    because the child's refusing to go. When his parents come to
7
    pick her up at school, she's not getting in the carpool line.
8
    She's saying, I'm not going to go with them. So, there is no
9
    way to force her to go.
10
              If she's scared of Mr. Curry, how do we know what she
11
    wants?
12
              She's not scared of Mr. Curry.
13
         Q.
              How do we know?
14
              Because she's told me, she's told DFCS, she's told
         Α.
15
    CHOA, she's told Crimes Against Children, she's told her school
16
    counselor, that she's not scared of Mr. Curry.
17
         Q.
              Objection to hearsay. How do you know she's told all
18
    those people?
19
              MR. BROWNING: Your Honor --
20
              THE WITNESS: Judge, if I may --
21
              MR. BROWNING: -- he said, I'm objecting to hearsay.
22
         The guardian can use hearsay.
23
              THE COURT: Okay, what?
24
              THE WITNESS: Oh, I would just like to say, I've
25
         reviewed the Cobb County incident reports.
                                                      This is the
```

one from 3/3/21 where Detective King is interviewing Giana Scharle. It says: Giana expressed that she's never been placed in fear for her safety by Chris or Gina. That's her discussions with Safepath. At CHOA, which I have the records right here, she said she preferred to live with Christopher, her mother's ex-boyfriend; she does not feel like she needs a sexual assault exam; she denies any allegations of physical or sexual abuse; she does not feel unsafe. That's what she's stating to CHOA on a second occasion.

BY MR. MCMASTER:

- Q. And so, you don't think we have a duty to keep safeguards in place?
- A. I do and I believe that she does have safeguards in place because she's not secreted from the public. She's out in the public. She goes to cheerleading practice on a weekly basis. She sees a speech therapist twice a week. She has a cellphone, and she regularly communicates with her mother. So, if there's anything in this child's life that she's concerned about, she has means to get that out to people. She doesn't want to see your client and she has no problem calling me on the phone and telling me that, so I have a feeling that if she didn't want to see Mr. Curry, she'd have no problem telling me that as well.
 - Q. If her mother turned her over to Mr. Curry because

1 Mr. Curry gives her money --2 MR. BROWNING: Your Honor, he's testifying. 3 object. 4 THE COURT: Yep. There's no foundation for that at 5 this time. MR. MCMASTER: On the guardian's bill it says. I 6 7 mean, Christopher Curry paid a third -- paid mom's portion. That's giving mom money for this child. 8 9 not ambiguous. 10 MR. CANALE: I would also object, Your Honor. I 11 mean, it looks like the testimony is that Mr. Rivera's 12 family members are paying his bill, so, you know, are we 13 supposed to impugn a motive against him or his parents 14 because they pay his share of the guardian fees? I think 15 this is irrelevant, Your Honor. I think we are beating a 16 dead horse here. 17 MR. MCMASTER: His parents are not parties to the 18 Mr. Curry is. He's paying mom for this 15-year-old 19 girl. 20 THE WITNESS: I think, as I've testified, the way mom 21 22 MR. MCMASTER: It's in the bill. 23 THE COURT: Yep. 24 THE WITNESS: Right. And the way my firm does it is 25 there's each a trust account. The trust accounts are

1 applied against bills. Right now, Gina doesn't have any 2 money in the kitty. And per my guardian Order, the Court is allowed to reallocate my fees to whichever party the 3 Court deems appropriate at the end of this case anyway, so 4 5 that's kind of where it is. 6 BY MR. MCMASTER: 7 And you spoke -- You or your office communicated with Q. 8 Mr. Curry 12 times between April 19th and May the 17th, zero 9 times with Mr. Rivera, and zero times with the mother, Gina 10 Scharle. Why is that? 11 Your Honor, he's giving testimony and MR. BROWNING: 12 it's argument. 13 MR. MCMASTER: I'm asking her a question, why. 14 THE WITNESS: Sure. 15 THE COURT: Yeah, I think --16 MR. CANALE: And I would just argue, he asked her 17 those questions earlier. 18 THE COURT: Right. 19 MR. CANALE: She testified. She answered. 20 object to the relevancy, but I would also object and say 21 asked and answered. We've already covered that. And, you 22 know, we're here about the emergency with regards to the 23 child. If Mr. Rivera has any evidence to present

contradicting the quardian's recommendation, and what she

has heard from the child, then let's hear that.

24

not hearing anything like that.

MR. MCMASTER: That's not true. I'm addressing her credibility, and I'm asking her why she is communicating so often directly with Mr. Curry and not my client.

THE COURT: I think she has covered that thoroughly by saying she communicates with you and not your client.

BY MR. MCMASTER:

- Q. Well, why is that, Ms. Hungerford?
- A. Well, I just find that in some cases -- You're entitled to be represented by your counsel. Many parties in a guardian case want to have their communications communicated through their counsel, which I respect. Your client's never called me. The times he has emailed me, I have responded to him. So, same thing, I communicate primarily through Mr.

 Canale with Gina. It's easier for me to get in touch with him than it is with mom sometimes. That's just how some cases are. Whereas, other cases -- You'll see I don't have a ton of emails with Mr. Browning, because Mr. Curry reaches out instead of Mr. Browning. So, it kind of evens out. I don't feel like there's any information that your client has that he hasn't relayed through you or any information that I've requested through you that I haven't got a response to.
 - Q. Did you read his affidavit?
- 24 A. I have read his affidavit.

25 MR. MCMASTER: Your Honor, I don't have any more

1 question for this witness. I would like the opportunity 2 to redirect if somebody has cross. 3 MR. CANALE: I will limit my cross-examination to one 4 question. 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. CANALE: 7 Ms. Hungerford, has anything that you've heard or Q. 8 been presented with in this hearing changed your recommendation 9 with regard to what you feel is in Giana's best interest? 10 Α. No. 11 Thank you. Q. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Browning. 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. BROWNING: 15 Is it your recommendation that Mr. Curry have custody? 16 17 Α. Yes. 18 And that Mr. Rivera have one weekend a month, the first weekend? 19 20 Yes. Α. 21 And if Giana doesn't want to go, there's nothing you Q. 22 can do, or anybody can do to force her to go. 23 That's correct. Α. 24 And that that's her own independent free will that 25 she has told you that?

| 1 | A. That's what she's indicated to me, yes. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. BROWNING: That's all I have, Your Honor. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. Any other Everybody |
| 4 | finished with the guardian? |
| 5 | (No response.) |
| 6 | THE COURT: I guess you can step down, see if they |
| 7 | can catch you. |
| 8 | MS. HUNGERFORD: Thank you, Judge. |
| 9 | THE COURT: Next witness. |
| 10 | MR. MCMASTER: I think I'll go ahead and call Onoria |
| 11 | Rivera, the grandmother of the child. |
| 12 | (Brief pause.) |
| 13 | THE CLERK: Good afternoon, ma'am. Please raise your |
| 14 | right hand. |
| 15 | (Whereupon, the witness was duly sworn.) |
| 16 | THE CLERK: For the record, could you please state |
| 17 | and then spell your name? |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: O-n-o-r-i-a (spelling). |
| 19 | THE CLERK: Thank you, ma'am. |
| 20 | ONORIA RIVERA, |
| 21 | being first duly sworn is examined and testifies as |
| 22 | follows: |
| 23 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 24 | BY MR. MCMASTER: |
| 25 | Q. You may have a seat. Go ahead and silence your |

1 phone. Take a minute. Are you ready? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Okay. Mrs. Rivera, you are the biological mother of Q. 4 Jonathan Rivera; is that correct? Α. 5 Yes. Q. And you are the grandmother of Giana Scharle; is that 7 correct? Α. Yes. 9 Q. And you call her GG? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Okay. How long have you known GG? Have you been Q. 12 there since the day she was born? 13 Α. Yes. Yes. 14 Q. Okay. Go ahead and describe your relationship with 15 her. 16 Very good. I babysit her all the time. I helped 17 Gina a lot. When she's working, I'm babysitting. Sometimes 18 Gina no pick her up for three days, because she's working. 19 has stayed in my house all the time. 20 Okay. So, when Gina was actually working, you used Ο. to watch Giana; is that correct? 21 22 Yes. Yes. All the time. Α. 23 What years did that occur? Q. 24 2005. In 2013, when she moved to Mr. Chris, I saw Α. 25 Giana a little bit, but we follow GG everywhere Gina move.

1 When she move from his house to the grandma house, to her 2 mom's, she call us, every time we go over there. She said she needed help economics. We give it to Gina a lot. We help Gina 3 4 with money. The last time I give money to Gina last year, in 5 June. Before June, I give it to Gina. She said she needed help because she lived in that grandma house. She called me 7 and she said she needed help because she not live in a great 8 house. I give it to Gina, before June, a thousand dollar to 9 The last time she go to my house I give her one in June 10 '20. GG's with her because she's taking GG that night. It's like 8:00 or 9:00. 11

- 12 Q. Eight or nine what?
- 13 A. P.m.

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 14 Q. Oh, 8:00 or 9:00
 - A. Yeah. We spent with GG, like, two hours, because she'll stay outside. GG stay inside with us, because she picks up money, but say, you can stay with GG, like, two hours.

 She'll stay outside and GG with us inside the last -- last year.
 - Q. So, mother would periodically bring GG to your home for you to visit with GG?
- A. Yes. Yes. Sometime when she needed some money, she call us. I have good relationship with her.
- Q. You love GG?
- 25 A. I love GG. Yeah, I respect Gina a lot. She know

- 1 that. We talk on the phone all the time, and when Gina need
- 2 help, the first people she call is me and my husband all the
- 3 | time. She know that.
- 4 Q. And so, when Gina needed money, she would bring GG to
- 5 you, and you would give Gina money?
- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. While you visited with GG.
- 8 A. Sometimes. Sometimes she say we in the way with GG.
- 9 | She'd go home. She's alone. I'd say, where is GG, and she
- 10 | say, oh, GG have a party or GG staying with a friend.
- 11 | Sometimes she say she needed my car, because GG have a birthday
- 12 | party. I give it to Gina, my car, for a few hours, because she
- 13 | say she going to take GG to the birthday, somebody's birthday,
- 14 GG's friend.
- 15 Q. Now, do you have other grandchildren?
- 16 A. No, she is our only one.
- 17 Q. Your only grandchild.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Do you ever yell at GG?
- 20 A. No, never. We love GG.
- Q. You don't yell at GG.
- 22 A. No. It's not true and she know that. Gina know
- 23 | that. We never yell at GG. She know -- She know how much we
- 24 love GG. We follow GG everywhere. When they change to Boston,
- 25 | we help her, and when she come back, we help Gina, and she

| 1 | lived in my house. We went looking for a house for her, and we |
|----|--|
| 2 | help her all the time. I don't understand why this happen. |
| 3 | MR. MCMASTER: I don't have any other questions |
| 4 | unless there's an opportunity to redirect. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Canale? |
| 6 | MR. CANALE: I have no questions, Your Honor. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Mr. Browning? |
| 8 | MR. BROWNING: None, Your Honor. |
| 9 | THE COURT: May the witness be excused? |
| 10 | MR. CANALE: As far as I'm concerned, yes. |
| 11 | MR. MCMASTER: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 12 | THE COURT: That means you can stay in the courtroom. |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you. |
| 14 | THE COURT: Or leave, whichever you choose, but |
| 15 | you're welcome to stay in the courtroom. What's next? |
| 16 | MR. MCMASTER: Your Honor, I have nothing else at |
| 17 | this time other than I don't waive any arguments that are |
| 18 | presently on the record. |
| 19 | THE COURT: All right. Mr. Canale? |
| 20 | MR. CANALE: I have nothing to present, Your Honor. |
| 21 | THE COURT: Mr. Browning? |
| 22 | MR. BROWNING: Nothing to present, Your Honor. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Ms. Hungerford, your recommendation, do |
| 24 | you want |
| 25 | MS. HUNGERFORD: Yes, sir. My recommendation as it |

stands is that Mr. Curry maintain the primary physical custody, that the biological father have the first weekend of the month visitation from Friday to Sunday, and that the mother have the third and fifth weekends of the month subject to the child's agreement to go.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Arguments?

MR. BROWNING: I agree to submit what you've heard from the guardian and the testimony. I don't know if we need argument.

MR. CANALE: I don't have much to argue other than I have stated in my place, at the beginning, that my client agreed with the recommendation, so I'll stand on that, Your Honor.

MR. BROWNING: We agree with the recommendation.

MR. MCMASTER: I'd like to make an argument.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. MCMASTER: Your Honor, this child is in the absolute dominion of a 42-year-old man. She's 15 years old. She has a father and grandparents who are willing to step up. This is not what the Equitable Care Act was meant for. By stating that so long as the child agrees, in a circumstance that we don't really know what the child wants, or if he's promising her money, promising her a car, promising her college, we don't know. He's in 100 percent -- He has 100 percent dominion over this child.

There are no safeguards by putting an order in place the way they want it, if the child agrees to. Well, a child doesn't have a real decision when they're under the dominion of one individual, especially if they fear that individual. We don't have safeguards here. This child needs to be with mother and father. Those are her safeguards. She needs to have access to grandmother.

We need to know that her phone works to communicate with dad. Right now, we don't know that. She has a phone that's controlled by this ex-boyfriend, and while they're arguing, oh, he's the father figure, he has been for 10 years, no, she's had five stepdads over the last 10 years. It's not real.

And mother is strapped for money. We have no way of knowing if she actually wants Mr. Curry to have her child, because she has a drug problem. And it's clear from the record that when she needs money, she can get it from Mr. Curry. That's very clear. It's on the bill.

Your Honor, we need more safeguards in place and one safeguard is that she has more time with her mother and father, and more time with the grandparents. And I don't care if she says she wants this or wants that, or doesn't want this or doesn't want that. If a child wants to play in traffic, do you let them? Do you say, oh, my child wants to, so I'm going to let my child play in traffic?

1 If I've never died -- I'm 39 years old. I haven't 2 died, therefore, I don't need life insurance? No. keep the safeguards in place. You don't remove them, 3 4 especially when you're dealing with a 15-year-old girl and 5 a man unrelated to her, and a bunch of wishy-washy facts. And who are conveying these facts to you? People who got 7 caught. There was a DFCS case open when this was ordered. Judge Flournoy's got to be recused from this case. 9 There's reasons for that. This isn't -- These people got 10 caught and those are the people who are conveying this 11 evidence to you. 12 Please protect this child. Don't do this. 13 not what the Equitable Care Act was for. This case is an 14 absolute tragedy if you go with her recommendation. 15 THE COURT: All right. Based upon the current 16 circumstances, I think the guardian's recommendation is 17 reasonable until either Judge Flournoy, or some successor 18 Judge, can set the matter down and have a full hearing, 19 and consider all the evidence, and that will be the 20 ruling. 21

Ms. Hungerford, could you fix that Order, please?
MS. HUNGERFORD: Yes, Judge, I can do that.
THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

(End of Proceedings).

25

22

23

| 1 | CERTIFICATE |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | STATE OF GEORGIA) |
| 4 | COUNTY OF COBB) |
| 5 | |
| 6 | I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken |
| 7 | down, as stated in the caption, and the questions and answers |
| 8 | thereto were reduced to type-written form by me personally; |
| 9 | that the foregoing pages 01 through 65 represent a true, |
| 10 | correct and complete transcript of the evidence given upon said |
| 11 | hearing; and I further certify that I am not of kin or counsel |
| 12 | to the parties in the case, am not in the regular employ of |
| 13 | counsel for any of the said parties, nor am I anywise |
| 14 | interested in the result of said case. |
| 15 | This the 16th day of June, 2021. |
| 16 | The above certification is expressly withdrawn and denied |
| 17 | upon the alteration, disassembly or photocopying of foregoing |
| 18 | transcript, including exhibits, unless said alteration, |
| 19 | disassembly or photocopying is done under the auspices of Paul |
| 20 | D. Crowder, C.C.R., C.V.R., and the original signature and |
| 21 | original seal is attached thereto. |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | Paul D. Crowder, C.C.R., C.V.R. |
| 25 | Certified Court Reporter, B-1579 |